

UDC 549.65

DELHAYELITE CRYSTALS FROM RISTSCHORRITES OF THE RASVUMCHORR PLATEAU (Khibiny Massif)

Maya N. Sokolova, Nataliya N. Smol'yaninova, Tat'yana I. Golovanova

Institute of Ore Deposits Geology, Petrography, Mineralogy and Geochemistry RAS, Moscow, azarova@igem.ru

Nikita V. Chukanov

Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics RAS, Chernogolovka, chukanov@icp.ac.ru

Margarita T. Dmitrieva

Institute of Ore Deposits Geology, Petrography, Mineralogy and Geochemistry RAS, Moscow.

The small (to 0.2x0.3x2 mm) well-shaped delhayelite crystals, sometimes double-terminated, were found in the Khibiny massif in the macrocrystalline fenaksite aggregations in ristschorrites of the Rasvumchorr plateau. The crystals are prismatic, elongated on c axis, in different degree flattened on b axis; 10 simple forms are determined (measured for the first time). Parameters of the rhombic unit cell are as follows: $a=6.52(1)$; $b=24.83(6)$; $c=7.07(1)$ Å; $V=1144.95$ Å³. The studied delhayelite is characterized by the highest content of the alkaline elements known for delhayelites; the content of H₂O is low (by the IR spectroscopy data and chemical analyses), especially in the grey, darkest crystals, representing unaltered delhayelite. The X-ray powder pattern (the most intensive lines are as follows (d, A (I)): 3.10 (10), 3.03 (9), 2.87 (9), 1.910 (10), 1.630(10)) and the IR spectrum are given. 3 tables, 2 figures, 14 references.

The well-shaped small (to 0.2x0.3x2 mm in size) delhayelite crystals, sometimes double-terminated, were found in the crystalline aggregations of ultraaluminous minerals coarse ristschorrites of the Rasvumchorr plateau (the Central mine). Delhayelite, Cl- and F-bearing aluminosilicate of Ca and alkaline elements, was found for the first time by M.D. Dorfman in 1952, in pegmatites among the massive urtites of the Material'naya adit (Mt. Yukspor), and described under the name «mineral No. 3» (Dorfman, 1958). In 1959, a new mineral, delhayelite, was described (Sahama, Hyttinen, 1959) from kalsilite-bearing melilite-nepheline lavas of the Shaheru volcano (DR Congo). Since that time, for the Khibinian «mineral No. 3», similar, but not identical to delhayelite from Congo, the name «delhayelite» was accepted (Dorfman, 1962). The mineral is quite widely spread in massive urtites and ristschorrites containing the beds of apatite-nepheline ores (Kostyleva-Labuntsova *et al.*, 1978). The lamellar mica-like delhayelite grains reach 10 cm in length and sometimes form large (up to 30 cm in size) monomineral aggregations.

The delhayelite crystals found by us are confined to the branching veinlet-like (up to 4 cm in size) and nest-shaped (up to 7 cm in diameter) macrocrystalline aggregations with irregular and unclear borders. They are composed by fenaksite, in which lomonosovite, villiaumite, djerfisherite, and rasvumite are noted. The grey, light grey and colourless delhayelite crystals form inclusions in fenaksite

(Sokolova *et al.*, 1993). Moreover, in cavities among crystalline aggregates of fenaksite, the smaller (up to 0.1x0.2x1 mm) delhayelite crystals occur; their largest face overgrows on the fenaksite prismatic crystals (4x5x8 mm in size).

Five colourless and grey translucent crystals were measured with the Goldschmidt two-circle goniometer (Tabl. 1). The symbols of faces were detected by a method of double ratios of cosines (the Wul method) and verified by the trigonometric formulae. In the accepted orientation, the axes ratio is as follows: $a:b:c=0.8101:1:0.866$. They are more close to the structural orientation by Chiragov and Mamedov (1974) ($a:b:c=0.266:1:0.288$), distinguishing by the segments on axis a and c , which are three times larger.

According to the measurements, the prismatic crystals of delhayelite are elongated on

Table 1. Faces coordinates of delhayelite crystals

| Symbols of faces | Data of measurements (average values) | | Calculated coordinates | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| | φ | ρ | φ | ρ |
| 010 | 0°00' | 90°00' | 0°00' | 90°00' |
| 160 | 11 36 | 90 00 | 11 50 | 90 00 |
| 340 | 42 42 | 90 00 | 42 10 | 90 00 |
| 110 | 50 59 | 90 00 | 51 00 | 90 00 |
| 025* | 0 00 | 20 30 | 0 00 | 19 06 |
| 011* | 0 00 | 40 30 | 0 00 | 40 54 |
| 223* | 50 54 | 41 10 | 51 00 | 42 30 |
| 111 | 50 59 | 53 59 | 51 00 | 54 00 |
| 443* | 50 54 | 62 00 | 51 00 | 61 24 |
| 322* | 60 40 | 58 56 | 61 42 | 61 18 |

* Found only on one crystal

c axis and flattened on *b* axis in different degree (Fig. 1). In prismatic zone, the face (010) is the best developed, the cleavage is distinctly pronounced by this face; other faces are very narrow.

Parameters of the rhombic unit cell of the studied delhayelite are close to the parameters determined earlier for other samples: $a=6.52(1)$; $b=24.83(6)$; $c=7.07(1)\text{\AA}$; $V=1144.95\text{\AA}^3$. The X-ray powder pattern contains more than 50 lines (Tabl. 2).

Chemical analyses of four delhayelite crystals (Cameca MS-46 electron microprobe instrument) are given in Table 3, where also analyses of the Khibinian lamellar delhayelite, the mineral from Congo and hydrodelhayelite are presented.

It is well-known, that delhayelite is easily hydrated. In all known analyses is H_2O (to 2 wt %), which is an evidence of the late hydrothermal or hypogene alteration of mineral that results in formation of hydrodelhayelite (Chiragov, 1978; Dorfman, Chiragov, 1979). The carrying-out of Na, F, Cl, and partly K takes place (Chiragov, Mamedov, 1974; Ragimov *et al.*, 1980; Canillo *et al.*, 1970).

Water was not determined in the delhayelite crystals because of lack of material. Therefore the IR-spectra of the studied crystals with those of lamellar delhayelite specimens with the known H_2O content (an. 6 in Table 3) and with IR-spectra of the hydrodelhayelite with the highest content of H_2O (an. 8 in Table 3), were compared.

The IR spectra (Fig. 2) were obtained with a Specord 75 IR spectrophotometer in the range $400\text{-}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$. The standards were gaseous ammonia and polystyrene. Samples were prepared by a standard method, as pellets with KBr. The spectrum of KBr was subtracted automatically. In the range $500\text{-}800\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (the most sensible to fine structural peculiarities of silicates), all samples of delhayelite give the same set of narrow bands with the absorption maximums at 590 , $607\text{-}608$, $635\text{-}636$, $662\text{-}665$, and $701\text{-}703\text{ cm}^{-1}$. In the range of water molecules vibrations, the IR spectra of coarse-lamellar delhayelite and of the studied crystals are identical (Fig. 2, spectrum 1): they contain a weak broad band of stretching vibrations in a range of $3300\text{-}3700\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and two weak bands of H-O-H bending vibrations in the frequency ranges $1560\text{-}1585$ and $1625\text{-}1640\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Presence of two types of the stretching H-O-H angles is an evidence of polytypic state of water molecules in delhayelite. The comparing samples insignificantly differ only by position of the maximum in a range of $1070\text{-}1090\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (1077 and 1086 cm^{-1} respectively). In this interval, the stretching vibrations of the Si-O-Si bridge, as well as stretching vibrations of the SO_4 -groups are shown. Possibly, these samples differ by content of the sulphate anions (in these samples sulphur was not determined).

The IR spectrum of hydrodelhayelite is strongly distinguished from the spectrum of delhayelite in all range $400\text{-}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$, that is an evidence of significant changes of del-





Fig. 1. Delhayelite crystal from inclusions in fenaksite

Fig. 2. The IR spectra of delhayelite (1) and hydrodelhayelite (2)



hayelite in the process of the hydrodelhayelite formation, which affects not only on large cations and water molecules but also on all covalent-bonded system (double layer). In particular, together with the series of the low-distinct strong bands of stretching vibrations of water molecules ($3325\text{--}3520\text{ cm}^{-1}$) and a band of bending vibrations of water molecules (1640 cm^{-1}) in the spectrum of hydrodelhayelite is the well-pronounced shoulder at 3210 cm^{-1} and also very bad-pronounced shoulders at 3040 and 1715 cm^{-1} , which can be the evidence of existence of the acidic-basic equilibrium of the following type: $(\text{Si-O-H})^{+\delta} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Si-O} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$. Together with delhayelite and hydrodelhayelite, in pegmatites of Khibiny there is a number of related minerals with the intermediate degree of hydration, which give the individual sets of narrow bands in a range $500\text{--}800\text{ cm}^{-1}$.

As analyses showed, the studied delhayelite is characterized by the highest content of potassium ever analysed delhayelites (Dorfman, 1958; Kostyleva-Labuntsova *et al.*, 1978; Ageeva, 2002; Ageeva, Borutsky, 2004; Sharygin, 2002; Pekov, Podlesnyi, 2004). The potassium content in crystals of delhayelite, forming inclusions in fenaksite, is higher than in the accessory delhayelite from ristschorrites. In the latter, analysed by O.A. Ageeva (2002) for the first time, the content $17.32\text{--}18.87\text{ wt } \%$ of K_2O or $3.35\text{--}3.65\text{ K}$ per formula was detected (electron microprobe analyses, 16 samples). The content of K_2O is inconstant and always exceeds the theoretical value by calculation on the following formulae: $\text{K}_7(\text{Na}_3\text{Ca})\text{Ca}_4[\text{AlSi}_{17}\text{O}_{19}]_2\text{F}_4\text{Cl}_2$ (Canillo *et al.*, 1970) and $\text{K}_3\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_2[(\text{Al},\text{Si})_8\text{O}_{19}](\text{F},\text{Cl})_2$ (Chiragov, Mamedov, 1974). This discrepancy was noted earlier (Ageeva, 2002; Ageeva, Borutsky, 2004; Sharygin, 2002), and for the studied delhayelite crystals it is more remarkable (to 3.94 K per formula). Apparently, the K site in the crystal structure requires refinement.

Note: * – analysis total also contains (wt %): $\text{BeO} - 0.17$; $\text{REE}_2\text{O}_3 - 0.11$; $\text{S} - 0.06$. ** – analysis total contains $\text{SO}_3 - 1.31\text{ wt } \%$. An. 1-4 – crystals of delhayelite from ristschorrites, the Rasvumchorr plateau; analyst T.I. Golovanova; 5, 6 – macrolamellar delhayelite: 5 – from pegmatite in massive urtite, Mt. Yukspor, analyst L.D. Nikitina (Dorfman, 1958); 6 – from pegmatite in ristschorrite, the Apatite circus of the Mt. Rasvumchorr, analyst G.M. Varshal (Kostyleva-Labuntsova *et al.*, 1978); 7 – lamellar delhayelite from calcite-bearing melteigite-nepheline lavas of the Shاهرu volcano, DR Congo (Sahama, Hytonen, 1959); 8 – hydrodelhayelite from pegmatite in ristschorrite, the Apatite circus of the Mt. Rasvumchorr, analyst G.M. Varshal (Kostyleva-Labuntsova *et al.*, 1978)

Table 2. X-ray powder data of delhayelite from ristschorrites of the Rasvumchorr plateau (Fe-radiation, $D=114.6\text{ mm}$)

| <i>hkl</i> | <i>I</i> | <i>d</i> (Å) | <i>hkl</i> | <i>I</i> | <i>d</i> (Å) | <i>hkl</i> | <i>I</i> | <i>d</i> (Å) |
|------------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| 200 | 5 | 12.94 | 322 | 4 | 2.303 | 233 | 2 | 1.585 |
| 110 | 1 | 6.71 | 802 | 4 | 2.258 | 314 | 3 | 1.565 |
| 400 | 1 | 6.24 | 003 | 6 | 2.176 | 433 | 4 | 1.547 |
| – | 2 | 6.04 | 303 | 7 | 2.103 | 342 | 5 | 1.527 |
| 301 | 1 | 5.07 | 431 | 0.5 | 2.089 | 533 | 5 | 1.522 |
| 401 | 2 | 4.43 | 11.1.1 | 4 | 2.045 | 442 | 7 | 1.503 |
| 311 | 2 | 4.10 | 912 | 5 | 2.003 | 633 | 0.5 | 1.492 |
| 411 | 1 | 3.79 | 722 | 2 | 1.975 | 124 | 2 | 1.479 |
| 601 | 1 | 3.49 | 032 | 10 | 1.910 | 804 | 6 | 1.444 |
| 511 | 7 | 3.44 | 731 | 2 | 1.880 | 14.2.2 | 1 | 1.429 |
| 220 | 6 | 3.36 | 023 | 7 | 1.851 | 814 | 5 | 1.414 |
| 102 | 6 | 3.24 | 223 | 3 | 1.833 | 624 | 2 | 1.395 |
| 710 | 3 | 3.19 | 930 | 6 | 1.792 | 724 | 5 | 1.369 |
| 800 | 10 | 3.10 | 14.0.0 | 2 | 1.778 | 11.4.1 | 2 | 1.362 |
| 121 | 9 | 3.03 | 040 | 7 | 1.757 | 942 | 7 | 1.354 |
| 112 | 7 | 2.94 | 632 | 7 | 1.732 | 824 | 2 | 1.339 |
| 402 | 9 | 2.87 | 903 | 3 | 1.710 | 651 | 3 | 1.309 |
| 801 | 7 | 2.815 | 440 | 3 | 1.701 | 052 | 3 | 1.297 |
| 421 | 7 | 2.753 | 623 | 2 | 1.687 | 252 | 2 | 1.291 |
| 502 | 3 | 2.712 | 10.3.1 | 1 | 1.655 | 332 | 2 | 1.282 |
| 412 | 5 | 2.660 | 104 | 10 | 1.630 | 452 | 3 | 1.271 |
| 621 | 7 | 2.458 | 033 | 2 | 1.598 | | | |

Table 3. Chemical composition of delhayelite and hydrodelhayelite (wt %)

| No. of sample | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
| Constituent | | | | | | | | |
| Na_2O | 7.29 | 7.04 | 7.20 | 7.45 | 6.88 | 6.52 | 3.20 | 0.22 |
| K_2O | 20.20 | 20.14 | 20.75 | 19.91 | 17.94 | 18.37 | 9.27 | 6.13 |
| CaO | 13.02 | 12.74 | 12.77 | 12.59 | 14.55 | 14.52 | 7.99 | 12.72 |
| SrO | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.12 | – | – | 0.22 |
| MgO | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 1.03 | 0.21 |
| MnO | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.18 |
| Fe_2O_3 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.54 | 2.72 | 0.65 |
| Al_2O_3 | 5.76 | 5.52 | 5.99 | 6.26 | 6.48 | 6.59 | 9.22 | 8.46 |
| TiO_2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | Cl. | 0.09 | 0.01 |
| SiO_2 | 47.42 | 47.02 | 46.67 | 48.11 | 46.36 | 46.34 | 52.60 | 55.53 |
| H_2O^+ | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 1.23 | 1.49 | 5.93 | 5.58 |
| H_2O^- | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 1.06 | 0.42 | 3.35 | 9.62 |
| F | 2.31 | 2.41 | 2.49 | 2.59 | 2.81 | 2.80 | 0.33 | – |
| Cl | 3.69 | 3.89 | 3.99 | 3.83 | 3.47 | 3.42 | 3.91 | 0.15 |
| $-\text{O}=\text{F}_2$ | | | | | | | | |
| Cl_2S | 1.83 | 1.88 | 1.95 | 1.89 | 1.99 | 1.94 | 1.01 | 0.03 |
| Total | 99.09 | 97.85 | 99.20 | 99.71 | 100.21* | 99.34 | 100.01** | 99.65 |
| Formula coefficients (calculation on $\text{Si} + \text{Al} = 8$) | | | | | | | | |
| Na | 2.09 | 2.04 | 2.08 | 2.08 | 1.98 | 1.87 | 0.78 | 0.05 |
| K | 3.80 | 3.84 | 3.94 | 3.66 | 3.39 | 3.46 | 1.49 | 0.96 |
| Ca | 2.06 | 2.04 | 2.04 | 1.94 | 2.31 | 2.30 | 1.08 | 1.66 |
| Sr | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | – | 0.02 |
| Mg | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.04 |
| Mn | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Fe | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.06 |
| Al | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.37 | 1.22 |
| Ti | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Si | 7.00 | 7.03 | 6.95 | 6.94 | 6.87 | 6.85 | 6.63 | 6.78 |
| F | 1.08 | 1.14 | 1.17 | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.31 | 0.13 | – |
| Cl | 0.92 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.03 |

For our delhayelite specimen, the surplus of Ca and deficiency of Na, which were noted for lamellar delhayelite (Sharygin, 2002), are not characteristic.

The original delhayelite from Congo (an. 7, Tabl. 3) is probably partly altered; it differs by lower content of the alkaline elements and high content of H₂O and occupy the intermediate position between proper delhayelite and hydrodelhayelite by chemical composition.

The work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 03-05-64139).

References

- Ageeva O.A. Typomorphism of Accessory Minerals and Evolution of Mineral Formation in Rocks of Ristschorrites Complex (Khibiny massif). (Tipomorfizm Aktsesornykh Mineralov i Evolyutsiya Mineraloobrazovaniya v Porodakh Kompleksa Ristschorritov (Khibinskii Massiv)). PhD Thesis. M., 2002. 187 p. (Rus.).
- Ageeva O.A., Borutzky B.Ye. Kalsilite in rocks of the Khibiny massif: morphology, paragenesis, genetic conditions // *New Data on Minerals*. Vol. 39, M.: Ekost. 2004. P. 40-50
- Canillo E., Rossi G., Ungaretti L. The crystal structure of delhayelite // *Rend. Soc. Ital. Miner. e Petrol.* 1970. V. 26. F. 1. P. 63-75.
- Chiragov M.I., Mamedov Kh.S. Crystal structure of delhayelite, Ca₂Na₂K₃[(Si,Al)₈O₁₉](F,Cl)₂ // *Miner. Sbornik L'vovsk. GU.* 1974. N. 28. Pt. 1. P. 3-7. (Rus.).
- Chiragov M.I. X-ray study of hydrodelhayelite // *Uchenye Zapiski Azerb. SSR. Ser. Geol.-Geogr. Nauk.* 1978. N. 3. P. 26-28. (Rus.).
- Dorfman M.D. New data on mineralogy of the Yukspor in the Khibiny Tundras // In book: *Voprosy Geologii i Mineralogii Kol'skogo Poluostrova*. M., L.: Izd. AN SSSR. 1958. V. 1. P. 146-150. (Rus.).
- Dorfman M.D. Mineralogy of Pegmatites and Zones of Weathering in Ijolite-Urtites of the Mt. Yukspor of the Khibiny Massif. (Mineralogiya Pegmatitov i Zon Vyvetrivaniya v Iiolit-Urtitakh Gory Yukspor Khibinskogo Massiva). M.-L.: Izd. AN SSSR. 1962. 167 p. (Rus.).
- Dorfman M.D., Chiragov M.I. Hydrodelhayelite (product of hypergene alteration of delhayelite) // *Novye Dannye o Mineralakh SSSR.* 1979. N. 28. P. 172-175. (Rus.).
- Kostyleva-Labuntsova E.E., Borutzky B.Ye., Sokolova M.N., Shlyukova Z.V., Dorfman M.D., Dudkin O.B., Kozyreva L.V. Mineralogy of the Khibiny Massif. (Mineralogiya Khibinskogo Massiva). M.: Nauka. 1978. V. 2. 584 p. (Rus.).
- Pekov I.V., Podlesnyi A.S. Kukisvumchorr deposit: Mineralogy of the alkaline pegmatites and hydrothermalites // *Mineralogical Almanac*, Vol. 7. M.: Ekost. 2004. 176 p.
- Ragimov K.G., Chiragov M.I., Mamedov Kh.S., Dorfman M.D. Crystal structure of hydrodelhayelite // *DAN Azerb. SSR.* 1980. V. 36. N. 12. P. 49-51. (Rus.).
- Sahama T.G., Hytunen M.A. Delhayelite, a new silicate from the Belgian Congo // *Miner. Mag.* 1959. V. 32. N. 244. P. 6-9.
- Sharygin V.V. Delhayelite from pegmatites of the Khibiny massif and delhayelite-like mineral from melilitites of the Pian di Chelle volcano (San-Venanzo, Italy) // In book: *Geokhimiya Magmaticheskikh Porod. Vserossiiskii Seminar s Uchastiem Stran SNG*. M. 2002. P. 105. (Rus.).
- Sokolova M.N., Suchkova E.M., Vlasova E.V., Golovanova T.I., Kartashov P.M., Kovalenko V.S. New data on natural and synthetic fenaksite // *DAN RAN.* 1993. V. 329. N. 2. P. 212-215. (Rus.).